

## INCOMING TELEGRAM

*Department of State*

ACTION COPY

**PERMANENT RECORD COPY**

## EXCISE

45

### Action

EUR

### Info

SS

NG

1994

FROM: PARIS

TO: Secretary of State

NO: POLTO 1771, JUNE 29, 8 PM

Control: :21867

Rec'd: JUNE 29 1961

7:50 PM

SENT DEPARTMENT POLTO 1771; REPEATED INFORMATION LONDON POLTO 276,  
BONN POLTO 231

POUCHED ALL OTHER NATO CAPITALS POLTO UNNUMBERED

NAC MEETING, JUNE 29--BERLIN

AMB FINLETTER, HAVING RECEIVED CONCURRENCE BRITISH, FRENCH AND GERMAN DELS, DREW ON TOPOL 1845, AS AUTHORIZED, TO INFORM COUNCIL OF QUADRIPARTITE DISCUSSIONS OF GERMAN REPLY SOVIET FEBRUARY 17 MEMORANDUM AND US REPLY TO JUNE 4 SOVIET AIDE MEMOIRE. ALSO REFERRED TO EXTRAORDINARY PRESS STORIES RE PLANNING ON BERLIN, PARTICULARLY NEWS-WEEK STORY (DEPCIRTEL 2105) AND CONVEYED GUIDANCE CONTAINED DEPCIRTEL 2115.

IN SERIES OF QUESTIONS ASKED  
SCHEDULE FOR DELIVERY OF REPLIES, STRESSING COUNCIL  
SHOULD BE GIVEN SUFFICIENT TIME TO SECURE VIEWS OF GOVERNMENTS  
ON DRAFT REPLIES. US PERREP STATED WHILE HE HAD NO  
INFORMATION ON DATES, WOULD PASS ON TO WASH  
ON IMPORTANCE OF AFFORDING COUNCIL SUFFICIENT TIME TO  
STUDY DRAFT REPLIES, WITH WHICH HE AGREES.

REFERRING TO JUNE 7 STATEMENT ON CONTINGENCY PLANNING (TOP SECRET), ASKED WHEN COUNCIL MIGHT EXPECT TO RECEIVE POLICY ON NON-MILITARY COUNTERMEASURES AND ON REVIEW US POLICIES WHICH HAVE BEEN PROMISED. US PERMREP STATED HE WOULD SEEK INFORMATION ON THESE REPORTS BUT EMPHASIZED

SECRET

REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY  
PROHIBITED UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED"

• This copy must be returned to RM/R central files with notation of action taken.

ACTION ASSIGNED TO. <i>RA</i>		ACTION TAKEN <i>Reply</i>	
NAME OF OFFICER & OFFICE SYMBOL <i>— Tohni</i>		DATE OF ACTION <i>7/11</i>	DIRECTIONS TO RMR <i>File</i>

DEPARTMENT OF STATE A/CDC/MR  
☐ RELEASE ☐ DECLASSIFY  
☒ EXEMPT ☒ DECLASSIFY TO PART  
☐ DENY ☐ DECLASSIFY

**REVIEWED BY**

with 12/21

( ) CLASSIFY AS \_\_\_\_\_

762.00/6-2961

41

B

B

**CLASSIFIED**

DECLASSIFIED

-2- POLTO 1771, JUNE 29, 8 PM, FROM PARIS

FACT THEY NOT YET RECEIVED IS NO REASON FOR COUNCIL HOLDING UP DISCUSSION OF BERLIN SINCE BASIC ISSUES ARE CLEAR. STATED HE WOULD TRY TO GET WHATEVER SPECIFIC INFORMATION MIGHT BE REQUIRED IN COURSE OF DISCUSSION.

[ ] OBSERVED ALL PUBLIC STATEMENTS BEING? B1  
MADE IN WEST ON BERLIN AGREED ON THEME OF MAINTAINING  
FREE ACCESS TO CITY. ON OTHER HAND, FROM MANY STATEMENTS  
MADE BY KHRUSHCHEV, HE BELIEVED SOVIETS WERE TRYING TO  
SAY THEY DO NOT PLAN TO START ANY PHYSICAL ENCROACHMENT  
ON BERLIN OR HINDER ACCESS BUT ONLY TO MAKE ARRANGEMENTS  
WITH GDR WHICH WOULD REMOVE SOVIET RESPONSIBILITIES FOR  
ACCESS. [ ] FELT AT SOME POINT WE WILL B1  
HAVE TO DEAL WITH POLITICAL PROBLEMS OF SITUATION WHERE  
SOVIETS MAKE AGREEMENT WITH GDR AND THUS CAUSE SOME EM-  
BARRASSMENT FOR WEST. STRESSED IMPORTANCE, IN INTEREST OF  
ALLIANCE, OF TRYING TO GET SOME CLARIFICATION ON WHERE  
WE STAND SINCE THERE IS OBVIOUS GAP IN WESTERN THINKING  
ON THIS POINT. US AGREED ON IMPORTANCE OF PROBLEM POSED  
[ ] AND SUGGESTED IT BE DISCUSSED EARLY IN RESTRICTED B1  
MEETING OF PERMREPS ONLY.

FINLETTER

WP

SECRET

DECLASSIFIED